

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/532,353 | 07/05/2005 | Mattias Nystrom | 38148 | 9063 | |
| 116 PEARNE & GO | 7590 07/19/2007 ORDON LLP | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1801 EAST 9TH STREET | | | ALIE, GHASSEM | | |
| SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, | OH 44114-3108 | , | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3724 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | · | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 07/19/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|----------------|
| 10/532,353 | NYSTROM ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Ghassem Alie | 3724 |

| Zonoro ano r ming or an rappour zinor | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|--|---|---|---|
| | Ghassem Alie | 3724 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>10 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin | g date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | | ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | pliance with 37 CER 41 37 must be | filed within two month | ne of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A blief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. Main The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | , will <u>not</u> be entered b | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | TE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | • | desate a service ellectron | Alex to a confin |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | · | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | II be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>7-12</u> . | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| 13. Other: | | 7 | |
| | | / / | |

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment after final failed on 07/10/07 has not been considered. Applicant's extensive amendment to the independent claim 1 and addition of claims 13-19 raise new issues that require further search and consideration. It should be noted that claims 7-12 have wrong status identifier as "currently amended." However, claims 7-12 have been withdrawn in pervious Office Action and should have "withdrawn; currently amended" as status identifier.